

Article

# Kinetics and Thermodynamics of H• Transfer from (□-CR)Cr(CO)H (R = Ph, Me, H) to Methyl Methacrylate and Styrene

Lihao Tang, Elizabeth T. Papish, Graham P. Abramo, Jack R. Norton, Mu-Hyun Baik, Richard A. Friesner, and Anthony Rapp

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125 (33), 10093-10102• DOI: 10.1021/ja034927I • Publication Date (Web): 25 July 2003

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 29, 2009



# **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 5 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





# Kinetics and Thermodynamics of H• Transfer from $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}H$ (R = Ph, Me, H) to Methyl Methacrylate and Styrene

Lihao Tang,<sup>†</sup> Elizabeth T. Papish,<sup>†</sup> Graham P. Abramo,<sup>†</sup> Jack R. Norton,<sup>\*,†</sup> Mu-Hyun Baik,<sup>†</sup> Richard A. Friesner,<sup>†</sup> and Anthony Rappé<sup>‡</sup>

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, Columbia University, 3000 Broadway, New York, New York 10027, and Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

Received February 28, 2003; E-mail: jnorton@chem.columbia.edu

Abstract: The rates of H/D exchange have been measured between (a) the activated olefins methyl methacrylate- $d_5$  and styrene- $d_8$ , and (b) the Cr hydrides ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (**2a**), ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (2b), and  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  (2c). With a large excess of the deuterated olefin the first exchange goes to completion before subsequent exchanges begin, at a rate first order in olefin and in hydride. (Hydrogenation is insignificant except with styrene and CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H; in most cases, the radicals arising from the first H• transfer are too hindered to abstract another  $H_{\bullet}$ .) Statistical corrections give the rate constants  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  for  $H_{\bullet}$ transfer to the olefin from the hydride. With MMA, kreinit decreases substantially as the steric bulk of the hydride increases; with styrene, the steric bulk of the hydride has little effect. At longer times, the reaction of MMA or styrene with 2a gives the corresponding metalloradical 1a as termination depletes the concentration of the methyl isobutyryl radical 3 or the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4; computer simulation of [1a] as f(t) gives an estimate of  $k_{tr}$ , the rate constant for H• transfer from 3 or 4 back to Cr. These rate constants imply a  $\Delta G$  (50 °C) of +11 kcal/mol for H• transfer from 2a to MMA, and a  $\Delta G$  (50 °C) of +10 kcal/mol for H• transfer from 2a to styrene. The CH<sub>3</sub>CN pK<sub>a</sub> of 2a, 11.7, implies a BDE for its Cr-H bond of 59.6 kcal/mol, and DFT calculations give 58.2 kcal/mol for the Cr-H bond in 2c. In combination the kinetic  $\Delta G$  values, the experimental BDE for **2a**, and the calculated  $\Delta S$  values for H• transfer imply a C-H BDE of 45.6 kcal/mol for the methyl isobutyryl radical 3 (close to the DFT-calculated 49.5 kcal/mol), and a C-H BDE of 47.9 kcal/mol for the α-methylbenzyl radical 4 (close to the DFT-calculated 49.9 kcal/mol). A solvent cage model suggests 46.1 kcal/mol as the C-H BDE for the chain-carrying radical in MMA polymerization.

### Introduction

Metalloradicals have proven to be effective catalysts for chain transfer during free radical polymerizations.<sup>1</sup> Although the original (and most effective) chain transfer catalysts have been cobalt(II) complexes,<sup>2</sup> we have found that the hindered chromium metalloradical ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>•<sup>3</sup> (1a) is also an effective catalyst for chain transfer during the AIBN-initiated polymerization of methyl methacrylate.<sup>4</sup> Recently Poli and coworkers have reported two Mo(III) complexes that are active chain-transfer catalysts during the polymerization of styrene.<sup>5</sup>

10.1021/ja034927I CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society

Chain-transfer catalysis is thought to involve removal of H• from the propagating radical chain, giving a vinyl-terminated polymer and a metal hydride (eq 1), followed by transfer of that H• to monomer to start a new chain (eq 2). Such catalysis reduces the molecular weight of the polymer.<sup>1</sup> In effect, the catalyst moves H• from the end of a growing chain to the beginning of a new one.

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & \\ P \end{array} \xrightarrow{} CO_2CH_3 \end{array} + M \bullet \xrightarrow{k_{tr}} MH + \swarrow CO_2CH_3 \end{array} (1) \\ & = \swarrow CO_2CH_3 \end{array} + MH \xrightarrow{k_{reinit}} M \bullet + \swarrow \bullet (2) \\ & & \\ & & \\ CO_2CH_3 \end{array}$$

The instability of Co and Mo hydrides has made it difficult to observe eq 2 directly. We have, however, prepared ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>-Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (**2a**) and observed eq 2 directly by treating **2a** with methyl methacrylate (MMA) monomer.<sup>4</sup> Heating a solution of ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H in MMA causes polymerization to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Columbia University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Colorado State University.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Gridnev, A. A.; Ittel, S. D. *Chem. Rev.* 2001, 101, 3611–3659. (b) Gridnev, A. J. Polymer Sci: Part A: Polym. Chem. 2000, 38, 1753–1766.
 (a) Enikolopyan, N. S.; Smirnov, B. R.; Ponomarev, G. V.; Bel'govskii, I.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Enikolopyan, N. S.; Smirnov, B. R.; Ponomarev, G. V.; Bel'govskii, I. M. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1981, 19, 291. (b) Burczyk, A. F.; O'Driscoll, K. F.; Rempel, G. L. J. Polym. Sci., Chem. Ed. 1984, 22, 3255. (c) Gridnev, A. A. Polym. Sci. U. S. S. R. (Engl. Transl.) 1989, 31, 2369. For reviews see: (d) Karmilova, L. V.; Ponomarev, G. V.; Smirnov, B. R.; Belgovskii, I. M. Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.) 1984, 53, 132. (e) Moad, G.; Solomon, D. H. The Chemistry of Free Radical Polymerization; Pergamon: New York, 1995; Section 5.3.2.7

<sup>(3)</sup> Hoobler, R. J.; Hutton, M. A.; Dillard, M. M.; Castellani, M. P.; Rheingold, A. L.; Rieger, A. L.; Rieger, P. H.; Richards, T. C.; Geiger, W. E. Organometallics 1993, 12, 116–123.

<sup>(4)</sup> Abramo, G. P.; Norton, J. R. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 2790-2792.

<sup>(5)</sup> Grognec, E. L.; Claverie, J.; Poli, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9513, and references therein.



begin, along with the slow conversion of the hydride **2a** to the metalloradical **1a**.

There have been many previous reports of H• transfer from transition-metal hydrides to carbon–carbon double bonds, although the result has usually been hydrogenation. In 1975, Feder and Halpern proposed that the HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub>-catalyzed hydrogenation of anthracene to 9,10-dihydroanthracene involved H• transfer.<sup>6</sup> In 1977, Sweany and Halpern reported convincing evidence (CIDNP and an inverse isotope effect for HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>/DMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>) for such a mechanism in the hydrogenation of  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene by HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub> (Scheme 1).<sup>7</sup> Other cases have been summarized in a review.<sup>8</sup>

To assess the ability of different metalloradicals to catalyze chain transfer, and to understand why ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H does not hydrogenate MMA when HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub> is known to hydrogenate  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, we have examined the kinetics and thermodynamics of the reactions of ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>R<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (R = Ph, **2a**; R = Me, **2b**; R = H, **2c**) with MMA and styrene. This variation in the cyclopentadienyl substituent provides a large range of steric bulk in the hydride complexes.

## **Results and Discussion**

H/D Exchange Between  $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3\text{H}$  ( $\mathbf{R} = \text{Ph}$ , Me, H) (2a,b,c) and MMA- $d_5$ . When a solution of the hydride 2a in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> was treated with a large excess ( $\geq 20$  equiv) of MMA- $d_5$ , <sup>1</sup>H NMR showed smooth decay of the hydride resonance and growth of the resonances of three isotopomers of MMA- $d_4$ (eq 3); the cis and trans isotopomers were formed in equal amounts. No methyl isobutyrate (which would be the product of hydrogenation) was observed



The first exchange proved cleanly separable from subsequent exchanges, i.e., an exponential fitted to the hydride resonance decayed to zero. The resulting rate constants (Table S1) and plot (Figure S1) confirm that exchange is first-order in MMA- $d_5$  (eq 4)

$$-\frac{d[\text{CrH}]}{dt} = k_1[\text{CrH}][\text{MMA-}d_5]$$
(4)

Extracting the rate constant  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  from an observed value of  $k_1$  requires that we consider the fractional probability *S* that D• will return to the chromium metalloradical **1** after the initial transfer. *S* then relates  $k_1$  to  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  as in eq 5

$$k_1 = Sk_{\text{reinit}} \tag{5}$$

If we define  $k_{\rm H}$  in Scheme 2 as the second-order rate constant for H• transfer to the metalloradical **1** from the deuterated methyl isobutyryl radical **3**- $d_5$ , and  $k_{\rm D}$  as the second-order rate constant for D• transfer to **1** from **3**- $d_5$ , then the selectivity factor *S* can be written as in eq 6. (We neglect any secondary isotope effects, and we defer consideration of cage effects.) By simplifying and dividing by  $k_{\rm D}$ , *S* can be expressed in terms of  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ 

$$S = \frac{\frac{5}{6}k_{\rm D}[\mathrm{Cr}\bullet]}{\frac{5}{6}k_{\rm D}[\mathrm{Cr}\bullet] + \frac{1}{6}k_{\rm H}[\mathrm{Cr}\bullet]} = \frac{5}{5 + \frac{k_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}}} \approx \frac{5}{8}$$
(6)

Of course,  $k_{\rm H}$  and  $k_{\rm D}$  are related by a normal primary kinetic isotope effect. One estimate of its size can be obtained from the observed isotope effect (2.93) on the disproportionation rate constant for free radical polymerization of MMA at 60 °C.<sup>9</sup> Another estimate (average value 3.5 between 40 and 80 °C) can be obtained by comparing the efficiency of a cobalt chain transfer catalyst for the polymerization of MMA with that for the polymerization of MMA- $d_8$ .<sup>10</sup> We have used the value of 3 shown in eq 6 to obtain the values of  $k_{\rm reinit}$  in Table 1.

Similar results, also without hydrogenation, were observed when MMA- $d_5$  was treated with the hydride **2b** or **2c**. The resulting values of  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  are also in Table 1. Comparison of  $k_{\text{reinit}}$ for **2a**, **2b**, and **2c** at 323 K shows a substantial increase in the rate of H• transfer as we decrease the steric bulk of the hydride complex. (As will be seen, there is little variation in the Cr–H bond strengths.) The temperature dependence of the observed rate constants gives the activation parameters in Table 2.

**Reaction of**  $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 R_5) Cr(CO)_3 H$  (R = Ph, Me, H) (2a,b,c) with Styrene- $d_8$ . In the case of styrene competition between hydrogenation and H/D exchange was observed. The bright blue color of  $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 Ph_5)Cr(CO)_3 \cdot (1a)$  appeared within a few minutes of sealing an NMR tube with the  $C_5 Ph_5$  hydride 2a and styrene- $d_8$ , and traces of ethylbenzene could be seen in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. With the  $C_5 Me_5$  hydride 2b and styrene- $d_8$  more ethylbenzene was formed. Depending on the initial concentration we observed between 2 and 8% ethylbenzene in addition to the main product styrene- $d_7$ .

When the C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> hydride **2c** was treated with styrene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, the Cp peak broadened and gradually shifted downfield to  $\delta$ 7.5 before disappearing completely from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (These results presumably arise from fast H• exchange between CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H and the product metalloradical CpCrCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>• (**1c**), present in equilibrium with its dimer [CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>.). Meanwhile, the hydride peak disappeared and ethylbenzene appeared (eq 7). A 2:1 ratio of **2c**:styrene resulted in complete hydrogenation to give the metalloradical **1c**, which is in equilibrium with its dimer (eq 7).  $\Delta G$  for dissociation of the weak metal-metal bond in [CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> is only 4.6 kcal/mol at 300 K, so there is only 10% monomer when [Cr] is 0.01 M<sup>11</sup>



<sup>(6)</sup> Feder, H. M.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 7186.

<sup>(7)</sup> Sweany, R. L.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8335.
(8) Eisenberg, D. C.; Norton, J. R. Israel J. Chem. 1991, 31, 55-66.



**Table 1.** Values of  $k_{\text{reinit}}^2$  from Isotopic Exchange between  $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3\text{H}$  and Excess MMA- $d_5$ 

	<i>k</i> <sub>reinit</sub> (10 <sup>-3</sup> M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		
<i>T</i> (K)	$R = Ph^b$	$R = Me^b$	$R = H^c$
333	3.00 (5)		
323	$1.74(8)^d$	2.61 (5)	
318	1.19(2)	1.73 (1)	
313	0.73 (1)	0.94 (2)	
308	0.51(1)	0.62(1)	4.0 (2)
303		0.350 (6)	2.5 (1)
298			1.23 (4)
293			0.97 (3)
288			0.59 (3)

<sup>a</sup> Obtained using eqs 4, 5, and 6. Figures in parentheses are standard deviation in least significant digit. <sup>b</sup> In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. <sup>c</sup> In toluene-d<sub>8</sub>. <sup>d</sup> From Figure S1.

Values of  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  for H• transfer to styrene can be extracted from the observed rate constants with styrene- $d_8$  by procedures similar to those in eqs 4-6. If we neglect hydrogenation and consider the overall reaction to be that in eq 8, then we need only consider the top part of Scheme 3; S for transfer to styrene will be given by eq 9 and the rate law for disappearance of the hydride resonance by eq 10. (Of course  $k_{\rm H}$  and  $k_{\rm D}$  now refer to the H• and D• transfer from the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4-d<sub>8</sub>.

(R=Me.Ph)

styrene-d<sub>8</sub>

$$S = \frac{\frac{2}{3}k_{\rm D}[{\rm Cr}\bullet]}{\frac{1}{3}k_{\rm H}[{\rm Cr}\bullet] + \frac{2}{3}k_{\rm D}[{\rm Cr}\bullet]} = \frac{2}{\frac{k_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}} + 2} \approx \frac{2}{5}$$
(9)

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_{\rm reinit} [\text{styrene-}d_8] S \tag{10}$$

(R=Me.Ph)

styrene-d7

$$-\frac{d[\mathbf{2c}]}{dt} = 2k_{\text{reinit}}[\mathbf{2c}][\text{styrene-}d_8]$$
(11)

When, as with the  $C_5H_5$  hydride **2c**, hydrogenation is complete we need only consider the bottom part of Scheme 3, and can write the rate law in eq 11 and thereby calculate the values of  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  in Table 3 below.

Table 2. Activation Parameters and kreinit at 323 K for Isotopic Exchange between  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  and Excess MMA- $d_5$ 

R	<i>k</i> <sub>reinit</sub> (323K), 10 <sup>−3</sup> M <sup>−1</sup> s <sup>−1</sup>	$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ , kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , eu
Ph	1.74 (8) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	14.1 (8)	-28 (3)
Me	2.61 (5) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	19.0 (6)	-12 (2)
H	14 (3) <sup><i>b</i></sup>	16 (1)	-17 (5)

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties from 323 K points in Table 1. <sup>b</sup> Extrapolated from activation parameters. Uncertainties take into account covariance between  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\hat{\Delta}S^{\ddagger}$ .

With the  $C_5Ph_5$  hydride 2a it is clearly appropriate to calculate  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  from eq 10. With the C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub> hydride **2b** we have still used eq 10; the error introduced thereby should be  $\leq 5\%$ . The results are collected in Table 3.

For styrene  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  from the hydride **2a** is about an order of magnitude faster than for MMA. However, in contrast to our observations with MMA, there is little variation in  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  with the steric bulk of the hydride complex-presumably because the double bond in styrene has fewer substituents. Completion of hydrogenation by transfer of a second Ho-to the methyl isobutyryl radical 3 in Scheme 2 or the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4 in Scheme 3—is not appreciable except in the least crowded case, the C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> hydride 2c and styrene. A considerable difference between 3 and 4 is apparent



Formation of  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>• (1a) from  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)-Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (2a) and MMA. Kinetic Modeling. As we previously reported,<sup>4</sup> the hydride 2a can initiate polymerization in neat MMA. When heated to 100 °C under an inert atmosphere, a  $1.15 \times 10^{-4}$  M solution of **2a** in MMA turned from light green to blue, the color characteristic of the metalloradical 1a; IR confirmed that 1a had been formed. Use of the extinction coefficient of 1a at 611 nm, determined in toluene as 720(80)  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, suggested that the conversion of **2a** to **1a** was over 90%. Removal of excess monomer after 1 h afforded PMMA with a number average molecular weight of approximately 6500, close to the number average molecular weight from an AIBNinitiated polymerization in the presence of a similar concentration of the metalloradical **1a**.<sup>4</sup>

Similar conversions were obtained in a series of experiments at lower temperatures, from 50 to 75 °C. Over a reaction time of 8-12 h, 10-25% of the monomer was typically converted to polymer.

At 65 °C with the hydride 2a in neat MMA the appearance of the metalloradical 1a was monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy

<sup>(9)</sup> Ayrey, G.; Wong, D. J. D. Polymer 1975, 16, 623.
(10) Gridnev, A. A.; Ittel, S. D.; Wayland, B. B.; Fryd, M. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5116.

<sup>(11) (</sup>a) Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. E.; Cotton, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 749. (b) Landrum, J. T.; Hoff, C. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 282, 215. (c) Madach, T.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 1978, 33B, 1301. (d) McLain, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 643. (e) Woska, D. C.; Ni, Y.; Wayland, B. B. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 4135.

#### Scheme 3



**Figure 1.** Absorbance of **1a** at 611 nm ( $\blacksquare$ ) as a function of time when a solution of **2a** ([**2a**]<sub>0</sub> = 3.6 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M) in neat MMA is heated at 65 °C. (The solid line is the exponential curve fit.) The initial 1000 s are shown in the inset.

*Table 3.* Values of  $k_{reinit}$  for  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  (R = H, Me, Ph) and Excess Styrene- $d_8$  in  $C_6D_6$ 

		k <sub>reinit</sub> (10 <sup>−3</sup> M <sup>−1</sup> s <sup>−1</sup> )		
<i>T</i> (K)	$R = Ph^b$	$R = Me^b$	$R = H^c$	
323	18.4 (9) <sup>a</sup>	5.4 (3)	15.8 (6)	
318			10.5 (3)	
313	$11.8(7)^a$	4.6 (2)	6.3 (5)	
308			5.6 (3)	
303	7.2 (2)	3.6 (2)	3.7 (3)	

<sup>a</sup> Average of two measurements. <sup>b</sup> Obtained using eq 9, 10. <sup>c</sup> Obtained using eq 11.

(Figure 1). There was a significant deviation from exponential behavior (see inset) at short reaction times.<sup>12</sup>

Using a solution of MMA in toluene instead of neat MMA decreased the extent to which chain growth (rate constant  $k_p[MMA]$ ) competed with H• return (rate constant  $k_{tr}[Cr•]$ ) for the methyl isobutyryl radical **3**. We were thus able to watch the growth of the metalloradical **1a** (20% to 30% conversion) without significant changes in [MMA], and to determine the

**Figure 2.** UV kinetic trace for the reaction of **2a** (0.0121 M) with MMA (0.268 M) in toluene at 50 °C. (Concentration of **1a** from visible absorbance at 611 nm  $\blacklozenge$ ).

50000

60000

kinetics of H• transfer in both directions. A typical plot of [1a] as a function of time is shown in Figure 2.

The H• transfer is thermodynamically *uphill* (see below), and the hydride **2a** converts to the metalloradical **1a** only because the methyl isobutyryl radicals **3** are consumed by dimerization and disproportionation reactions (eq 12). The rate constant at 50 °C for both processes combined is  $3.3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for polymers with  $P_n$  (number average degree of polymerization) =  $10^{4,13}$  but it increases as the chain length decreases—by about a factor of 5 for chain lengths as short as the ones in this experiment,<sup>14</sup> making  $k_{\text{term}}$  equal to  $1.65 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ . The concentration of MMA is sufficiently high, and the conversion so low, that to a first approximation we can neglect the reformation of MMA by eq 12. If we use  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  as  $0.0017 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ from Table 1, and simulate<sup>15</sup> the growth of the metalloradical **1a** as illustrated in Scheme 4, we can determine  $k_{\text{tr}}$  by iteration



The resulting values of  $k_{tr}$ , from experiments over a wide range of initial MMA concentrations, are shown in Table 4. (A

<sup>(12)</sup> Kinetic modelling with [MMA] = 9.3 M and the rate constants given in this section produces (Figure S3) an initial sharp rise in [1a] like that observed in Figure 1, although the agreement is not perfect (in neat MMA polymerization changes the viscosity and significantly decreases [MMA], making modelling difficult). High [MMA] pushes the equilibrium far enough to the right that the rapid operation of  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  and  $k_{\text{tr}}$  generates significant 1a before  $k_{\text{term}}$  begins to consume 3; as we would expect, no such initial rise in [1a] is seen in the subsequent experiments at lower [MMA] in toluene.

<sup>(13)</sup> Extrapolated to 50 °C from equation given by Mahabadi, H. K.; O'Driscoll, K. F. J. Macromol. Sci. A: Chem. 1977, 11, 967–976.

Scheme 4

 $C_{5}Ph_{5}Cr(CO)_{3}H + \underbrace{\underset{CO_{2}CH_{3}}{\overset{k_{reinit}}{\underset{k_{lr}}{\leftarrow}}}}_{2a} C_{5}Ph_{5}Cr(CO)_{3} \bullet + \underbrace{\underset{CO_{2}CH_{3}}{\overset{k_{reinit}}{\underset{k_{lr}}{\leftarrow}}}}_{CO_{2}CH_{3}}$ 

**Table 4.** Values of  $k_{\rm tr}^a$  from MacKinetics<sup>15</sup> Iteration of the Reaction of  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (**2a**) with MMA in Toluene at 50 °C

[2a] <sub>init</sub>	[MMA] <sub>init</sub>	<i>k</i> tr
(10 <sup>-3</sup> M)	(M)	(10 <sup>3</sup> M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
11.4	0.268	114
9.30	0.318	88.7
9.00	0.0791	38.2
6.52	0.281	128
8.35	0.212	84.3
9.15	0.186	91.0
8.56	0.221	94.7

<sup>*a*</sup>  $k_{\text{reinit}} = 0.0017 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{\text{term}} = 1.65 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $\epsilon$  of  $\mathbf{1a} = 720 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

sample MacKinetics fit plot (Fig S4) is available in the Supporting Information.) They have an average of 91 000  $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ . The major source of uncertainty is the  $k_{term}$  estimate; however, changing  $k_{term}$  by a factor of 2 only changes  $k_{tr}$  by 30%.

Kinetic Modeling of the Formation ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>• (1a) from ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (2a) and Styrene. The metalloradical 1a was also formed when a toluene solution of styrene and the hydride 2a was heated at 50 °C. Monitoring the reaction by UV–vis spectroscopy, and taking  $\epsilon$ (1a) at 611 nm in toluene as 720 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $k_{reinit}$  as 0.018 M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> from Table 3, and  $k_{term}$  (Scheme 5) as 3.2 × 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>16</sup> we can simulate the growth of 1a as shown in Scheme 5. For the data set presented a  $k_{tr}$  of 84 000 M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> gives the best fit. As was the case with MMA, changing  $k_{term}$  by a factor of 2 only changes  $k_{tr}$  by 30%.

Thermodynamics of the H• Transfer Equilibrium: Bond Strengths. The position of a reversible H• transfer equilibrium like Schemes 4 or 5 depends on the strength of the Cr–H and C–H bonds that are broken and made. To a first approximation, assuming  $\Delta S \approx 0$ , we can express  $\Delta G$  for such an equilibrium by eq 13, where BDE(C–H) is the bond dissociation energy of the C–H bond in the methyl isobutyryl radical **3** or the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical **4**. Our experiments thus offer a way of obtaining the BDE(C–H) of unstable radicals **3** and **4** 

$$\Delta G = \Delta H = BDE(Cr-H) - BDE(C-H)$$
(13)

**Computation of Cr–H and C–H Bond Strengths.** Computational methods, in particular those using density functional theory (DFT),<sup>17</sup> have become popular in recent years for computing BDEs of a variety of molecules including transition



metal complexes<sup>18</sup> and have provided access to absolute energy values without having to neglect the entropy changes as above. Because absolute energies obtained in quantum chemical calculations are quite sensitive to the level of theory employed, comparisons of reported BDEs from different studies are problematic. Thus, we have computed both the Cr-H and C-H bond strengths with the goal of obtaining values for the bond strengths at the same level of theory to compare with those derived from experimental methods. Because of computational demands, however, the Cr-H bond strength has been computed only for  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr(CO)_3H$ . Bond dissociation processes require corrections for vibrational zero point energy (ZPE) changes to the enthalpy, which are accessible by computing the vibrational frequencies of all species involved. These computed frequencies also provide reasonable estimates for vibrational entropies and, thus, allow the explicit evaluation of free energies of bond dissociation within the usual framework of the standard approximations of statistical thermodynamics.

For our Cr–H and C–H bonds the computed values are summarized in Table 5 and the individual energy corrections are listed in the Supporting Information. Calculated contributions of the entropy to the bond dissociation indicate that the  $\Delta S$ values for the H• transfer reactions depicted in Schemes 4 and 5 are +2.36 and +1.65 kcal/mol at 298 K, respectively. The computed bond dissociation energy terms indicate that changes of the intrinsic entropy components, that is the vibrational, rotational, and translational entropies of the molecule, are of the same order of magnitude as the solvation free energy changes upon bond dissociation. As can be expected, the entropies favor the dissociated (H•) side of the reaction, while solvation favors the reactant (M–H or C–H) side. Note that the solvation free energy of H• is positive (estimated to be +5.12 kcal/mol in water<sup>19</sup>).

**Determination of Cr**–**H Bond Strength in** ( $\eta^{5}$ -**C**<sub>5</sub>**Ph**<sub>5</sub>)**Cr**-(**CO**)<sub>3</sub>**H** (**2a**). Experimentally, it is straightforward to determine the strength of the Cr–H bond in **2a** from a thermodynamic cycle involving the  $pK_a$  of the hydride and the redox potential of the anion (the conjugate base of **2a**). Breslow and co-workers initially used such cycles to estimate the  $pK_a$  values of some weak carbon acids,<sup>20</sup> Bordwell and co-workers have used such cycles to estimate many C–H bond strengths in DMSO,<sup>21</sup> and Tilset and Parker,<sup>22</sup> as well as Protasiewicz and Theopold,<sup>23</sup> have estimated many M–H solution bond dissociation energies in this way.

<sup>(14)</sup> By extrapolation from the MMA/toluene data in Figure 2 and Table 3 in Suddaby, K. G.; Maloney, D. R.; Haddleton, D. M. *Macromolecules* **1997**, 30, 702–713. From polymerizations with the same [Cr•] we calculate P<sub>n</sub> as 2 (at such high concentrations of chain transfer catalyst P<sub>n</sub> is very small).

<sup>(15)</sup> MacKinetics, written by and obtained from Leipold, W. S., III.; Weiher, J. F.; McKinney, R. J. E. I. du Pont de Nemours, Inc., 1992–1995.

<sup>(16)</sup> Matheson, M. S.; Auer, E. E.; Bevilacqua, E. B.; Hart, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 1700.

<sup>(17)</sup> Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules; Oxford University Press: New York, 1989.

<sup>(18)</sup> Ziegler, T. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 651-667.

<sup>(19)</sup> Brunner, E. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1985, 30, 269

 <sup>(20) (</sup>a) Breslow, R.; Balasubramanian, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5182.
 (b) Breslow, R.; Chu, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 411. (c) Jaun, B.; Schwarz, J.; Breslow, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5741-5748.

<sup>(21)</sup> Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J. P.; Harrelson, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1229.

<sup>(22) (</sup>a) Tilset, M.; Parker, V. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6711, as modified by (b) Tilset, M.; Parker, V. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2843. Potential sources of error in the determination of M–H bond strengths by this method have been reviewed in ref 8 above.

<sup>(23)</sup> Protasiewicz, J. D.; Theopold, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5559.

X•	$\Delta H$ (gas) (kcal/mol)	$\Delta H$ (sol) <sup>a</sup> (kcal/mol)	$\Delta S$ (gas) (kcal/mol)	$\Delta G$ (gas) (kcal/mol)	$\Delta G(sol)^a$ (kcal/mol)
$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}$ methylisobutyryl $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl	54.42 45.83 46.77	57.55/58.18 49.48/49.79 49.91/49.67	-8.56 -6.20 -6.91	45.86 39.64 39.86	48.99/49.62 43.28/43.59 43.00/42.76

All calculations are done for the reaction  $XH \rightarrow X \bullet + H \bullet$ , where X denotes different fragments. See Computational Details for definitions of the energy terms. <sup>*a*</sup> The solution phase values are given both for toluene and acetonitrile; toluene values are listed first, followed by those for acetonitrile.

#### Scheme 5



Determining the  $pK_a$  of **2a** proved unusually difficult because **2a** is insoluble in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (the solvent we have preferred in our previous measurements<sup>24</sup> of the thermodynamic acidity of transition-metal hydrides). We therefore monitored the equilibrium  $K_{eq}$  for deprotonation of **2a** by [PPN][CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] (the conjugate base of **2c**) (eq 14) over a range of temperatures by <sup>1</sup>H NMR in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. (Measurement of  $K_{eq}$  by IR in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> proved impractical because of overlap of the peaks of both hydrides and their anions.) From -50 to 0 °C, the cyclopentadienyl signals belonging to CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (**2c**) and [PPN]-[CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] could be integrated separately and  $K_{eq}$  determined from their ratio and the initial concentrations of **2a** and [PPN]-[CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]

 $[PPN][CpCr(CO)_{3}] + C_{5}Ph_{5}Cr(CO)_{3}H \xrightarrow{K_{eq}} [PPN][C_{5}Ph_{5}Cr(CO)_{3}] + 2a CpCr(CO)_{3}H (14) 2c Ic$ 

$$rrin - rii_3r - in - rrii_3$$

The value of  $K_{eq}$  (80) measured at 250 K was corrected to 298 K by assuming  $\Delta G$  to be approximately constant and using  $\Delta G = -RT \ln K_{eq}$ . If we assume that for such large bases  $K_{eq}$  will be similar in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN,<sup>23,25</sup> and take the p $K_a$  of **2c** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN as 13.3,<sup>24</sup> then we can estimate the p $K_a$  of **2a** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN as 11.7(3).

The thermodynamic cycle in Scheme 6 yields eq 15 if we add the reactions and substitution the appropriate physical constants<sup>22</sup>

BDE(M-H) = 1.37 p
$$K_a$$
(M-H) + 23.06 E°(M•/M<sup>-</sup>) +  
58.4 kcal/mol (15)

The known redox potential of  $C_5Ph_5Cr(CO)_3 \bullet / C_5Ph_5Cr(CO)_3^-$ 

#### Scheme 6

M-H <sub>(sol)</sub>		M <sup>-</sup> (sol) + H <sup>-</sup> (sol)
M <sup>-</sup> (sol)		M∙ <sub>(sol)</sub> + e⁻
H <sup>+</sup> <sub>(sol)</sub> + e <sup>-</sup>		0.5 H <sub>2(g)</sub>
0.5 H <sub>2(g)</sub>		H∙ <sub>(g)</sub>
H∙ <sub>(g)</sub>	<u> </u>	H• <sub>(sol)</sub>
M-H <sub>(sol)</sub>		M∙ <sub>(sol)</sub> + H• <sub>(sol)</sub>

Table 6. BDE of Different Cr Hydrides

Cr hydride	BDE, kcal/mol
$\begin{array}{l} H-Cr(CO)_{3}(C_{5}Ph_{5})^{a} \\ H-Cr(CO)_{3}(C_{5}Me_{5})^{28} \\ H-Cr(CO)_{3}(C_{5}H_{5})^{b} \\ H-Cr(CO)_{2}(PPh_{3})(C_{5}H_{5})^{b} \\ H-Cr(CO)_{2}(PEt_{3})(C_{3}H_{5})^{b} \end{array}$	59.6 (3) 62.3 61.5 59.8 59.9
$H-Cr(CO)_2(P(OMe)_3)(C_5H_5)^b$	62.7

 $^{a}$  This work, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.  $^{b}$  The rest of the BDE values are from ref 27 and are in toluene.

in CH<sub>3</sub>CN,  $^{3,26}$  and our pK<sub>a</sub> estimate in the same solvent yield a Cr-H bond dissociation energy of 59.6(3) kcal/mol in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. In good agreement with this value, our DFT calculation predicts a BDE of 58.2 kcal/mol in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (Table 5). Furthermore, our experimental value for 2a fits well with calorimetric determinations on related chromium hydrides (Table 6).<sup>27</sup> In the Hoff study, the monomer-dimer equilibrium of  $[(\eta^5 -$ C5Me5)Cr(CO)3]2 was used to measure the Cr-Cr bond enthalpy,<sup>28</sup> and the enthalpy of reaction of the  $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Cr(CO)_3$ • radical with H<sub>2</sub> was measured calorimetrically, so the value of 62.3 for the Cr-H BDE of C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H in toluene should be quite accurate. In the same study, the Cr-H BDE of CpCr-(CO)<sub>3</sub>H was also determined to be 61.5 kcal/mol in toluene, which compares reasonably well to our DFT value of 57.6 kcal/ mol for toluene (Table 5). The agreement between the calorimetric numbers, our BDE value derived from the  $K_{eq}$  measurement and DFT-computed numbers gives reassurance that the assumptions used in the proton-transfer treatment are reasonable.

**Strength of the C–H Bond in the Methyl Isobutyryl Radical 3.** The literature yields conflicting evidence about the strength of the C–H bond in **3**. Of course we can obtain that bond strength if we know the heats of formation of both sides of eq 16. The gas-phase enthalpy of formation of methyl

<sup>(24)</sup> The work has been summarized in a review: Kristjánsdóttir, S. S.; Norton, J. R. "Acidity of Hydrido Transition Metal Complexes in Solution". In *Transition Metal Hydrides*; Dedieu, A., Ed.; VCH: New York, 1991, Chapter 9.

<sup>(25)</sup> Proton-transfer equilibria of η<sup>2</sup>-dihydrogen complexes measured in CD<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub> have been used to estimate aqueous pK<sub>a</sub> values in the same fashion: Jia, G.; Morris, R. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 582–584.

<sup>(26)</sup> To convert the redox potential of 1 vs (Fc/Fc+) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN to a redox potential vs (H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN a value of 0.049 V is added. Kiolthoff, I. M.; Chantooni, M. K., Jr. J. Phys. Chem. 1972, 76, 2024–2034.

<sup>(27)</sup> Kiss, G.; Zhang, K.; Mukerjee, S. L.; Hoff, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5657–5658.

Watkins, W. C.; Jaeger, T.; Kidd, C. E.; Fortier, S.; Baird, M. C.; Kiss, G.; Roper, G. C.; Hoff, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 907–914.

methacrylate is -82.4 kcal/mol,<sup>29</sup> but the gas-phase enthalpy of formation of a methyl isobutyryl radical 3 is less accurately known. Engel and co-workers have calculated -78(2) kcal/mol<sup>30</sup> by using  $-111 \text{ kcal/mol}^{31}$  as the enthalpy of formation of methyl isobutyrate and estimating<sup>32</sup> the C-H BDE for the tertiary carbon of methyl isobutyrate as 85 kcal/mol. By using the same C-H BDE of 85 kcal/mol, but using the enthalpy of formation of methyl isobutyrate (-117.3 kcal/mol) measured calorimetrically by Kharasch,<sup>33</sup> one can calculate a value of -84(2)kcal/mol for the enthalpy of formation of the methyl isobutyryl radical. Combining either of these two values for 3 with 52.1 kcal/mol for H• implies that the gas-phase C-H BDE in the methyl isobutyryl radical 3 is 48 or 54 kcal/mol. Our DFT calculations give a gas-phase BDE of 45.8 kcal/mol (Table 5), which is in better agreement with the former estimate

$$\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{CO_2Me} & + & H \bullet & \longrightarrow & \stackrel{H}{\longrightarrow} \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{CO_2Me} & (16) \end{array} \\ \Delta H_f^{\circ} (kcal/mol) & -82.4 & 52.1 & -78(2) \text{ or } 84(2) \end{array}$$

Comparing our Cr-H BDE (59.6 kcal/mol in CH<sub>3</sub>CN) with any of these C-H results for methyl isobutyryl radical 3 makes it clear that the equilibrium in eq 17 is uphill, and that treatment of the hydride 2a with MMA converts it to the metalloradical **1a** only because of the operation of  $k_{\text{term}}$  (Scheme 4). Comparison of the Cr-H and C-H DFT calculations in Table 5 suggests a gas phase  $\Delta H$  for reaction 17 of 8.6 kcal/mol. Addition of  $\Delta S$  and solvation energies from the continuum solvation model gives an overall theoretical  $\Delta G$  of 6.3 kcal/ mol for the equilibrium in eq 17 in toluene (Table S10)



If we assume that  $K_{eq} = k_{reinit}/k_{tr}$ , our rate constants at 50 °C in toluene imply a value of 11.4 kcal/mol for  $\Delta G$  (eq 17) at that temperature. Converting this number to a BDE requires that we separate the enthalpic and entropic contributions. In eq 13 we assumed  $\Delta S \approx 0$ , making  $\Delta G$  and  $\Delta H$  the same. A second possibility is to define an "experiment/theory hybrid" by using the DFT-computed gas phase  $\Delta S$  of 7.93 eu (from Table S10) for the reaction shown in eq 17. If we begin with our experimental  $\Delta G$  in toluene, estimate  $\Delta S$  in that solvent from the gas-phase value, and assume that our Cr-H BDE in CH<sub>3</sub>-CN (59.6 kcal/mol) is approximately correct in toluene, then we obtain a C-H bond strength for methyl isobutyryl radical 3 of 45.6 kcal/mol in toluene, which is in reasonable agreement with our 49.5 kcal/mol in that solvent from DFT computations (Table 5).

- (29) Viclu, R.; Perisanu, S. Rev. Roumaine Chemie 1980, 25, 619-624.
- (30) Engel, P. S.; Chen, Y. Wang, C. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3073-3079. (31) Group addition thermochemical calculation using data from and methods of Pedley, J. B.; Naylor, R. D.; Kirby, S. P. *Thermochemical Data of Organic Compounds*; Chapman and Hall: London, 1986. This type of estimate should approximate the gas-phase enthalpy of formation.
- (32) The C-H BDEs were estimated using three different approaches: ther-molysis of azoalkanes, thermolysis of dimethyl tetramethylsuccinate, and ESR determination of carboalkoxyalkyl radical rotational barriers.
- (33) Kharasch, M. S. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 1929, 2, 359-430. It is unclear from the tables of thermodynamic data if the Kharasch measurement is a gas-phase enthalpy. If it is a condensed-phase measurement, then the heat of vaporization of methyl isobutyrate must be added to the measured value of -117.3 kcal/mol, which would essentially make the Engel and Kharasch numbers equal.

Strength of the C–H Bond in the α-Methylbenzyl Radical **4.** We can similarly infer the strength of the C–H bond in the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4. Reported C-H BDE's are as high as 54.3 kcal/mol and as low as 46.9 kcal/mol. The former number comes from the gas phase heats of formation (kcal/ mol) of styrene (35.2),<sup>34</sup> H• (52.1), and the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical (33).<sup>35</sup> This value (54 kcal/mol) is also supported by the equilibrium constant for the reversible decomposition of a  $Co(III)(\alpha$ -phenethyl) complex to liberate styrene and half an equivalent of H<sub>2</sub>.<sup>36</sup> The lower experimental estimate for the C-H BDE of  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical **4**, 46.9 kcal/mol, comes from a  $\Delta H_{\rm f}$  for this radical derived from very-low-pressure pyrolysis, 39.6 kcal/mol.<sup>37</sup> A similar value (41.3 kcal/mol) comes from proton affinity experiments by a thermodynamic cycle.<sup>38</sup> In good agreement with previous quantum chemical studies on MP4/CBS-4 level of theory<sup>39</sup> that reported a bond dissociation energy of 45.5 kcal/mol for  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4, our DFT calculations suggest a gas-phase BDE of 46.8 kcal/ mol, and lend support to the lower values in the set of estimates.

Comparing our Cr-H BDE (59.6 kcal/mol in CH<sub>3</sub>CN) with the C-H BDE values from our DFT calculations and with literature bond strength estimates suggests that H• transfer from  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  to styrene (eq 18) is between 5 and 14 kcal/mol uphill. This range is slightly lower than an estimate, 16.1(4) kcal/mole, that has been published<sup>40</sup> for a similar H• transfer, between 2c and  $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl styrene



If we again assume that  $K_{eq} = k_{reinit}/k_{tr}$ , our rate constants at 50 °C in toluene imply a value of 9.87 kcal/mol for  $\Delta G$  (eq 18) at that temperature. Now our "experiment/theory hybrid", using  $\Delta S$  from our gas-phase DFT computations (5.54 eu) and our Cr-H BDE from CH<sub>3</sub>CN (59.6 kcal/mol), gives a C-H bond strength for 4 of 47.9 kcal/mol in toluene, which is in good agreement with our 49.9 kcal/mol in that solvent from DFT calculations.

The  $\beta$  C–H bonds in the methyl isobutyryl radical **3** and the  $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl radical 4 are both much weaker than ordinary C–H bonds. They are, however, stronger than the  $\beta$  C–H bond in the ethyl radical (35.7 kcal/mol).<sup>41</sup>

Cage Effects and their Influence on the Kinetic Determination of Bond Strengths. In Schemes 4 and 5 and eqs 17 and 18 we have assumed that the rate constant  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  corresponds to a single step. There are, however, many reasons to assume that such H• transfers occur within a solvent cage. The observation of CIDNP in Scheme 1 and related reactions<sup>7,8</sup> implies competition between H• return and cage escape after H• transfer. Exchange between the hydride ligand and the substrate (ac-

- (38) Meot-Ner, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5–10.
   (39) Zhang, X. M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 1872–1877.
- (40) Bullock, R. M.; Samsel, E. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6886-6898.
   (41) Blanksby, S. J.; Ellison, G. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 255-263.

<sup>(34)</sup> Prosen, E. J.; Rossini, F. P. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 1945, 34, 59.

 <sup>(35)</sup> Kerr, J. A. Chem. Rev. 1966, 66, 465.
 (36) Ng, F. T. T.; Rempel, G. L.; Mancuso, C.; Halpern, J. Organometallics 1990, 9, 2762. (37)Robaugh, D. A.; Stein, S. E. Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 1981, 13, 445-462.

Scheme 7

Scheme 8



complished by the  $k_2$  and  $k_{-2}$  steps) is considerably faster than the overall reaction in the system in Scheme 7.<sup>42</sup>

Such cage effects may explain the difference between the  $k_{\rm tr}$  value we obtained above (9.1 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> by kinetic modeling) for methyl isobutyryl radical **3** and the one we have obtained (1.6 × 10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> from molecular weight measurements as a function of [1]) for the polymer-containing radical **3**-*P*.<sup>43</sup> Cage effects may also explain why *our*  $k_{tr}(polymer)$  *value decreases with temperature.* The forward step (and by microscopic reversibility the reverse step) in reactions 17 and 18 may occur in *more than one step*, and may involve an associative pre-equilibrium;<sup>44</sup> if H• transfer occurs only after the formation of a solvent cage, then we have the sequence of events in Scheme 8. The first step  $k_{\rm capture}$  is merely the microscopic reverse of cage escape, driven by the interaction between the metalloradical Cr• and the chain-carrying radical **3**-*P*.<sup>45-47</sup>

The observed rate constant  $k_{tr}$  will then be given by eq 19. The cage-forming equilibrium  $k_{capture}/k_{escape}$  will lie further to the left with increasing temperature, making  $k_{tr}$ (polymer) decrease with increasing temperature as observed

$$k_{\rm tr} = \frac{k_{\rm capture} k_{\rm C \to Cr}}{k_{\rm escape} + k_{\rm C \to Cr}} \approx \frac{k_{\rm capture} k_{\rm C \to Cr}}{k_{\rm escape}}$$
(19)

If we assume  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  for H• transfer from Cr hydride to MMA is equal to  $k_{\text{Cr}\rightarrow\text{C}}$  for H• transfer from Cr hydride to the vinyl-

- (43) Tang, L.; Norton, J. R. in preparation, to be submitted to *Macromolecules*.
  (44) A similar "negative activation energy" has been seen, and a similar explanation (reversible formation of a dissociable intermediate) offered, for a carbene/alkene cycloaddition in Turro, N. J.; Lehr, G. F.; Butcher, J. A., Jr.; Moss, R. A.; Guo, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 1754–1756.
- (45) The bond between the sterically encumbered  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)Cr(CO)_3 \bullet (1a)$  and the tertiary radical 3-*P* will be weak. Formation of such a bond would reduce the efficiency of 1a as a chain transfer catalyst,<sup>46</sup> as the resulting alkyl complex 5 cannot be an intermediate in formation of the hydride 2a (5 would have no vacant coordination site and thus be unable to undergo  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination).<sup>47</sup> Weak interaction between the metalloradical 1a and the carbon-centered radical 3-*P* can, however, contribute to the formation of the cage in Scheme 6.
- (46) Reversible formation of a Co-C bond has been observed with Co(II) chain transfer catalysts and the α-methylbenzyl radical 4 in styrene polymerization, but it is insignificant with the isobutyryl radical 3 in MMA polymerization. Heuts, J. P. A.; Forster, D. J.; Davis, T. P. *Macromolecules* 1999, 32, 2511-2519.
- (47) Similarly, the release of alkenes from alkyl cobalamins occurs not by β-hydrogen elimination but by C → Co H• transfer after homolysis of the Co−C bond. See Halpern, J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1979, 51, 2171, and Bonhôte, P.; Scheffold, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 1425–1444.

terminated polymer **6**, eq 19 means that we can determine a true  $\Delta G$  for Scheme 8 from  $k_{tr}(\text{polymer})/k_{reinit}$ . Our values of these rate constants at 50 °C give a  $\Delta G$  of -13.5 kcal/mol in toluene. Neglecting  $\Delta S$  and using our Cr-H BDE give 46.1 kcal/mol as the BDE at 50 °C for the C-H bond in **3**-*P*-to our knowledge the first determination of the strength of a C-H bond in the chain-carrying radical of a *polymerization* reaction.

Unfortunately, the BDE(C-H) that we have just calculated for 3-P (46.1 kcal/mol) cannot be compared with the BDE(C-H) that we calculated earlier from our "experiment/theory hybrid" for 3 (45.6 kcal/mol), since the BDE for 3-P neglected  $\Delta S$ . It is better to compare  $\Delta G$  for H• transfer to the polymersubstituted double bond in the vinyl-terminated polymer 6 (+13.5 kcal/mol), with the  $\Delta G$  we obtained (from  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  and  $k_{\text{tr}}$ without allowing for cage effects) for H• transfer to MMA (eq 17) (+11.4 kcal/mol). The fact that  $\Delta G$  is larger for H• transfer to the polymer-substituted double bond suggests that the C-H BDE in 3-P is lower than that in 3 itself, which is not unreasonable: 3 and 3-P differ in the number of equivalent C-H bonds by a factor of 2, and the C-H bond strength may be influenced by the substituent effect of the polymer chain. We have explored the latter possibility by calculating (at the suggestion of a reviewer) the gas-phase BDE for the "monosubstituted" radical 7 (3 + MMA); the results (Table S10) indicate that the C-H bond in 7 is indeed 0.8 kcal/mol weaker. (Although we have calculated entropy corrections for 7, they are unlikely to be good approximations for the polymersubstituted radical **3**-*P*.)



It is also possible that our  $\Delta G$  for H• transfer to the vinylterminated polymer 6 (+13.5 kcal/mol) differs from our  $\Delta G$ for H• transfer to MMA (+11.4 kcal/mol) because our kinetic analysis of the latter situation (eq 17) did not include cage effects.

#### **Experimental Section**

**General.** All manipulations were carried out using Schlenk, high-vacuum, or inert-atmosphere-box techniques, unless otherwise indicated. THF, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, and toluene- $d_8$  were distilled under

<sup>(42)</sup> Jacobsen, E. N.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2023– 2032.

N<sub>2</sub> from Na/benzophenone. Et<sub>2</sub>O, hexanes, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, benzene, and toluene were degassed and passed through columns of activated alumina and supported copper catalyst.48

Materials. Styrene was washed 3 times with 5% NaOH and NaCl solutions to remove inhibitors. It was dried over CaH<sub>2</sub>, distilled under reduced pressure, and stored in the freezer at -30 °C. It was then distilled immediately prior to use.

Methyl methacrylate was predried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then ran down an Aldrich Inhibitor Removal Column to remove inhibitor. It was vacuum-transferred over CaH<sub>2</sub>, stored at -30 °C, and vacuum-transferred immediately prior to use.

MMA- $d_5$  and styrene- $d_8$  were purchased from Aldrich in sealed ampules. The inhibitor was removed from the MMA- $d_5$ by vacuum-transfer from  $CaH_2$ , and from the styrene- $d_8$  by vacuum-transfer alone.

 $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Ph_{5})Cr(CO)_{3} \bullet (1a)^{3} (\eta^{5}-C_{5}Ph_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}H^{4} (2a), (\eta^{5}-C_{5}Ph_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}H^{4} (2a))$  $C_5Me_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  (2b),<sup>49</sup> and ( $\eta^5$ - $C_5H_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  (2c)<sup>50</sup> were prepared by literature procedures. AIBN was recrystallized by methanol twice and stored at -35 °C. Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane was purified by vacuum-transfer. Bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium cyclopentadienyl chromium tricarbonyl ([PPN]-[CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]) was synthesized according to a published procedure for the molybdenum species.51

Measurement of the Extinction Coefficient of  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)$ -Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>• (1a) in Toluene is described in the Supporting Information.

NMR Kinetic Measurements. Rate Law for H• Transfer from  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)Cr(CO)_3H$  (2a) to MMA. All NMR kinetic measurements were recorded on a 300 MHz instrument. In a typical experiment, a C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution (300  $\mu$ L) of **2a** (0.030 M) and hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (as an internal standard) (0.002 M) was placed in a J. Young NMR tube. A  $C_6D_6$  solution (300  $\mu$ L) of 2.00 M MMA- $d_5$  was added to the same tube and frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. The tube was placed in the NMR probe (equilibrated to a temperature calibrated by ethylene glycol<sup>52</sup> as 323.0  $\pm$  0.5 K, and already tuned with a similar tube) and allowed to thaw. The extent of reaction was determined by monitoring the decrease in the peak height (related to the internal standard) of the hydride resonance ( $\delta$  -3.98). The time-dependent hydride concentrations were fitted to eq 4, 5, 6 with KaleidaGraph; both  $[CrH]_{\infty}$  and  $k_{obs}$  were refined. A plot of  $k_{obs}$  vs  $[MMA-d_5]$  is shown as Figure S2

$$[\mathrm{CrH}]_{t} = [\mathrm{CrH}]_{\infty} + ([\mathrm{CrH}]_{0} - [\mathrm{CrH}]_{\infty})e^{-k_{\mathrm{obs}}t} \qquad (20)$$

Rate Constants  $k_{\text{reinit}}$  for H• Transfer from ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>R<sub>5</sub>)Cr- $(CO)_{3}H$  (R = Ph, Me, H) (2a,b,c) to MMA- $d_{5}$  and Styrene $d_8$ . The same general procedure as in the previous paragraph was followed with MMA-d<sub>5</sub>. To obtain activation parameters, kreinit from 2a to MMA-d5 was measured from 333 K to 308 K (Table S2). Similarly, the kinetics of H• transfer from 2b to MMA-d<sub>5</sub> were observed from 323 K to 303 K in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (Table S3). Lower temperatures were needed to obtain accurate kinetic data for H/D exchange between  $2c^{53}$  and MMA- $d_5$ , so toluene $d_8$  was used as a solvent instead of benzene; the rate constants obtained from 308 K to 288 K are given in Table S4.

With styrene- $d_8$  modification was required because the reaction was considerably faster. The solution of 2 with the internal standard was placed in an NMR tube and frozen inside an inert atmosphere box, the styrene- $d_8$  solution was added by syringe, and the tube was immediately put back in the freezer of the inert atmosphere box. The tube was flame-sealed while frozen. The solution was thawed and mixed just before it was placed in the NMR probe.

The rate constants for styrene- $d_8$  and  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)Cr(CO)_3H$ (2a),  $(n^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}H$  (2b), are shown in Table S5, S6; the rate constants for styrene hydrogenation with  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr$ - $(CO)_3H$  (2c) are shown in Table S7.

Determination of the Rate Constants ktr for H• Transfer to  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5)Cr(CO)_{3^{\bullet}}$  (1a) from the methyl isobutyryl radical 3 and  $\alpha$ -methyl benzyl radical 4. Solutions of ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (2a) and MMA or styrene in toluene (see Table 4) were made in an inert atmosphere box and placed in a UV cell (quartz, 1 cm path length) that could be attached to a Schlenk line with a Teflon stopcock. The cell, filled with an inert atmosphere, was detached from the Schlenk line and placed in the Peltier temperature controller (previously equilibrated to the desired temperature) inside the UV spectrometer. Absorbance data at 611 nm were collected every 30s for the first h; thereafter, the time between spectra was incremented by 10% on each scan. The reaction was complete after 20 h.

The value of  $k_{tr}$  was obtained using MacKinetics<sup>15</sup> simulation software, with initial concentrations for all species and the time dependence of the chromium radical 1a (calculated from the absorbance data with  $\epsilon = 720 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) as input. The rate constants  $k_{\text{reinit}} = 0.0017 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  (for methyl isobutyryl radical 3, from Table 1) and 0.018 (for  $\alpha$ -methyl benzyl radical 4, from Table 3) and  $k_{\text{term}} = 1.65 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  (for 3)<sup>13,14</sup> and 3.2 ×  $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  (for **4**)<sup>16</sup> were fixed and  $k_{\text{tr}}$  determined by iteration. The results for MMA are in Table 4.

Determination of the pK<sub>a</sub> of  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H (2a) A typical experiment is described. Two 1 mL solutions of [PPN]-[CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] (23.5 mg) and 2a (15.2 mg) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were prepared. A weighed aliquot from each solution was measured into an NMR tube with a resealable Teflon stopcock to give a final volume of approximately 1 mL. Spectra were taken between 230 and 270 K, and the Cp resonances of CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H and  $CpCr(CO)_3^-$  were integrated. From the known initial amounts of 2a and [PPN][CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] and the ratios of the Cp resonances the equilibrium constant for proton exchange was calculated. Four NMR equilibrium measurements yielded a  $pK_a$ of 11.7(3).

Computational Details. All calculations were carried out using the Jaguar 4.1 suite<sup>54</sup> of ab initio programs. The geometries

<sup>(48)</sup> Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics **1996**, 15, 1518.

 <sup>(49)</sup> Leoni, P.; Landi, A.; Pasquali, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 321, 365.
 (50) Keppie, S. A.; Lappert, M. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 19, P5.
 (51) Darensbourg, M.Y.; Jimenez, P.; Sackett, J. R.; Kanckel, J. M.; Kump, R.

L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 1521–1530. (52) Cavanagh, J.; Fairbrother, W. J.; Palmer, A. G., III; Skelton, N. J. Protein

NMR Spectroscopy Principles and Practice; Academic Press New York, 1996

<sup>(53)</sup> During the <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>2</sup>D exchange experiments between excess MMA- $d_5$  and the hydride complex **2c** ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonance of the Cp of **2c** broadened and shifted downfield (to  $\delta$  5.2 at the end of the experiment), although that of the hydride remained sharp. The extent of the broadening varies from experiment to experiment. It appears to arise from fast H• exchange between CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>H and traces of the metalloradical 1c (CpCrCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>•), present in equilibrium with its dimer [CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. (It is difficult to avoid introducing traces of 1c during the synthesis of 2c, and some 1c is formed in the course of the  ${}^{1}\text{H}/{}^{2}\text{D}$  exchange.)

<sup>(54)</sup> Jaguar 4.1, Schrödinger Inc, Portland, OR, 2000.

of all species were fully optimized using the B3LYP functional<sup>55</sup> and the 6-31G\*\* basis set. Although this basis set is known to give reliable geometries, the energies are expected not to be sufficiently accurate, in particular for the radical species that require a high-quality basis. Therefore, we re-evaluated the energies using the correlation consistent triple- $\zeta$  basis<sup>56</sup> ccpVTZ(-f)++ including two sets of diffuse functions in addition to the standard set of two polarization functions. The chromium atom was represented using the Los Alamos LACVP\*\* basis,57 which contains effective core potentials and corresponds to the 6-31G\*\* basis used for all main-group elements, in geometry optimizations. For single point calculations, we used a modified version of LACVP\*\*, denoted LACV3P\*\*++, where the exponents were decontracted and diffuse functions were added to match the cc-pVTZ(-f)++ basis of the main group elements. All calculations made use of the unrestricted spin formalism. Cartesian coordinates of all optimized structures are given in Supporting Information.

Vibrational frequencies based on analytical second derivatives were computed at the B3LYP/6-31G\*\* level of theory and unscaled frequencies were used to deduce vibrational zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections. For both chromium complexes this level of theory gave rise to a physically meaningless imaginary frequency at  $\sim 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  that was assigned to a rotation of the Cp-ring around an axis connecting the Cr center with the center of mass of the Cp-ring. Re-evaluation of the vibrational frequencies for both Cr-complexes at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ(-f)/ LACV3P\*\* level of theory gave no imaginary frequency confirming the widely accepted practice of ignoring all imaginary frequencies below 100 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The thermodynamic properties derived from these two levels of theory are practically identical for both cases and are given in the Supporting Information.

Solvation effects were taken into account using a continuum solvation model<sup>58</sup> by numerically solving the Poisson-Boltzmann equation.<sup>59</sup> Rather than reoptimizing the geometry with the self-consistent-reaction-field potential added to the Hamiltonian, we simply used the gas phase geometry and computed

- (58) (a) Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. Structure and Reactivity in Aqueous Solution, ACS Symposium Series 568; American Chemical Society: Washington, D. C., 1994. (b) Tomasi, J.; Persico, M. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2027. (c) Patterson, E. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2025.
- (59) (a) Friedrichs, M.; Zhou, R. H.; Edinger, S. R.; Friesner, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 3057-3061. (b) Edinger, S. R.; Cortis, C.; Shenkin, P. S.; Friesner, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 1190-1197. (c) Marten, B.; Kim, K.; Cortis, C.; Friesner, R. A.; Murphy, R. B.; Ringnalda, M. N.; Sitkoff, D.; Honig, B. J. Phys. Chem. **1996**, 100, 11775–11788.
- (60) Baik, M.-H.; Friesner, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 7407.

the solvation energy in single point calculations at the B3LYP/ 6-31G\*\*/LACVP\*\* level of theory with the dielectric constant set to 2.379 and 37.5 to emulate toluene and acetonitrile, respectively. Basis set effects on solvation energy and the general performance of this protocol have been investigated explicitly elsewhere,<sup>60</sup> and found to be sufficiently small that a re-evaluation of the solvation energy at the cc-pVTZ(-f)++ level of theory is not necessary.

Bond Dissociation Energies. To compute the gas-phase bond dissociation enthalpy,  $\Delta H(gas)$ , the vibrational zero-point energy difference ( $\Delta$ ZPE) and thermal corrections  $\Delta H^{T}$  ( $H^{T} = \int C_{p} dT$ ;  $C_p$  = heat capacity; T = temperature) have been added to the electronic bond dissociation energy  $\Delta H^{\text{SCF}}$ :  $\Delta H = \Delta H^{\text{SCF}} +$  $\Delta ZPE + \Delta H^{T} + 5/2RT$ . The electronic energy  $H^{SCF}$  is the single point energy computed at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ(-f)++ level of theory. The electronic energy of H• at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ(f)++ level of theory is 13.667 eV. The last term (5/2RT) is the thermal correction for free H• and amounts to 1.48 kcal/mol at room temperature.  $\Delta S$ , the entropy corrections in gas phase are derived using standard approximations of statistical mechanics. The translational entropy of free hydrogen is computed using the Sackur-Tetrode equation (26.04 eu). In evaluating the solution phase energies, it is desirable to obtain the enthalpy and entropy of solvation separately, which would allow for computing bond dissociation enthalpy and the free energy of bond dissociation in solution. However, continuum solvation models are by default unable to separate these terms and simply give the overall free energy of solvation. Thus  $\Delta H(sol)$  we list in Table 5 is  $\Delta H(sol) = \Delta H(gas) + \Delta G(solvation)$ . Although the hybrid energy  $\Delta H(sol)$  is therefore rigorously not meaningful, it is a helpful one because it gives an estimate for the solvation corrected bond dissociation enthalpy. The solvation energy of H• is assumed to be the same as that of the H<sub>2</sub> molecule and set to +3.40 kcal/mol. We use the experimental solvation enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\text{Solv}} = 5.773 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ) and entropy ( $\Delta S^{\text{Solv}}$ = -47.68 J/(mol K)) determined by Brunner<sup>19</sup> for toluene ( $\epsilon$ = 2.379). Appropriate values for acetonitrile have been used accordingly (see the Supporting Information).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from the DOE to J.R.N. (DE-FG02-97ER14807) and from the NIH to R.A.F. (GM40526). The authors are grateful to Prof. N. Turro and A. Maliakal for helpful discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Measurement of extinction coefficient of  $(\eta^5-C_5Ph_5Cr(CO)_3\bullet)$ , details of  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5Cr (CO)_3H/MMA-d_5$  and  $(\eta^{5-}C_5R_5Cr(CO)_3H/styrene-d_8$  isotope exchange, and details of DFT computation (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA034927L

<sup>(55) (</sup>a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648. (b) Lee, C. T.; Yang, W. T.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.
(56) Dunning, T. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 89, 4207.
(57) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270.